

Family Name	Carter-Raby
Given Name	Damian
Person ID	1287517
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Carter-Raby
Given Name	Damian
Person ID	1287517
Title	JPA 19: Bamford / Norden
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	First and foremost, this land is currently used publicly and should be protected by national planning policy to keep it that way. The area is not suitable regardless of this, especially given the poor public transport links in the area. The roads are already over-crowded in the area and this would mean the majority of people situated here would need cars, potentially making things much worse for the people who already live in the area, especially in the worst-case scenario of 900 cars, and given the public transport issues in the area this is more likely than it would be in some other areas. This would also have a negative effect on the climate given the trade-off of greenery for vehicles. The area is also host to a lot of wild-life which would also suffer significantly should this go ahead. There is also the potential issue of schooling; the local facilities are already over capacity, in some cases leaving schools in severe debt, which would only be exacerbated by further local developments. Closer schools would also be negatively affected by the lack of green areas for sports clubs, for which the land is currently being used.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	The public transport infrastructure of the area is nowhere near robust enough for a development of this nature. Until this larger issue is rectified, this kind of thing is just not viable.